

FIRST INFORMATION REPORT (Under Section 173 BNSS, 2023)

1. District PS: Year FIR No. Date
New Delhi CBI/SC.II./N. Delhi 2026 RC0502026S0006 13.09.2026
2. (1) Act: IPC Sections: 120-B r/w 376 (D), 302, 201, 217, 218 IPC and substantive offences thereof.
(2) Act: - Sections: -
(3) Act -- Sections: --
(4) Others Acts & Sections -
3. (a) Suspected Offence: Criminal conspiracy, gang rape, murder, causing disappearance of evidence or giving false information to screen offenders, public servants disobeying directions of law with intent to save persons from punishment and public servants framing incorrect record with intent to save persons from punishment
(b) Day: Date: Time:
Intervening night of 8.6.2020 & 9.6.2020,
(c) Information received at PS: CBI/SC-II/New Delhi Date:12.09.2026
- Entry No. 01 Date: 13.09.2026 Time: 11.30 AM
4. Type of information Written /Oral: Written
5. Place of occurrence:
(a) Direction & Distance from PS: -- Beat No. --
(b) Address: Flat No. 1202, Regent Galaxy Apartment, Malwani, Malad West, Mumbai , Maharashtra
(c) In case, outside the limit of this Police Station, then

Name of PS: N/A District: N/A

Seena

6. Complainant / Informant:

(a) Name : **Sh. Satish Ishwar Salian**
(b) Father's/Husband's Name : **Late M.D. Ishwar**
(c) Date of Birth: 27.04.1958 (d) Nationality: **Indian**
(e) Passport No. - Date of Issue - Place of issue -
(f) Profession: -
(g) Address : **8/A, Hari Kharude Niwas, 169 Jyoti Bapule Road, Dadar Naigaon, Mumbai – 400014**

7. Details of known/suspected/unknown accused with full particulars:
(Attached separate sheet, if necessary)

-

8. Reasons for delay in reporting by the complainant/informant.

No delay.

9. Particulars of properties Stolen (Attach separate sheet, if necessary)

N.A.

10. Total value of property stolen - **N.A.**

11. Inquest Report/U.D. Case No., if any, - **Accidental Death Report (ADR) No. 85/2020, PS Malvani, Malad, Mumbai**

12. First information contents (Attach separate sheet, if required)

In compliance of order dated 02.09.2026 of Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay in Crl. Writ Petition No. 1612/2025 (Satish Salian Vs. State of Maharashtra and others), statement of petitioner Sh. Satish Ishwar Salian was recorded on 11.09.2026. The statement of Sh. Satish Ishwar Salian reveals commission of offences punishable under section 120-B r/w 376 (D), 302, 201, 217, 218 IPC and substantive offences thereof. Hence, as per directions of Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay passed in Crl. Writ Petition No. 1612/2025 (Satish Salian Vs. State of Maharashtra and others), a regular case is registered for investigation. A copy of order dated 02.09.2026 of Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay in Crl. Writ Petition No. 1612/2025 (Satish Salian Vs. State of Maharashtra and others) is enclosed and statement dated 11.09.2026 of Sh. Satish Ishwar Salian is reproduced below :

"I am as above and residing at the above mentioned address since my childhood. I am running a small business of Food Manufacturing (Home made Chutneys / Spices) and Packaging under the brand name 'Prakash' since 1990. I along with my wife Smt. Vasanti

Salian am staying at the said address. Late Ms. Victim DS was our only child and she was 28 years old at the time of her death.

At the time of her death my daughter late victim DS was working as Celebrity Manager at M/s Kwan Entertainment and she also worked as Manager of late Sh. Sushant Singh Rajput, film actor.

On 4 June 2020, victim DS left our residence after informing us that she and Rohan Roy (her fiancé) had an advertisement shoot for "Bingo." She showed me a photograph of a cheque for ₹3,00,000/- and stated that she would return home within two days. Before leaving, she asked me whether it was safe to travel during the COVID-19 pandemic. At her request, I called Police Helpline No. 100 and obtained information regarding the prevailing travel restrictions. Thereafter, she proceeded to Rohan Roy's flat at No. 1202, Regent Galaxy Apartments, Malwani, Malad West, Mumbai – 400 095. On 6 June 2020, victim DS called her mother and informed her that, due to the COVID-19 restrictions, her birthday and the birthday of her friend Indraneel could not be celebrated earlier. She stated that her friends had therefore planned to celebrate their birthdays together and that she would return home after two days. During this period, victim DS remained in regular contact with me and my wife. She frequently called us, asked her mother for recipes, enquired about our well-being. There was nothing in her conversations with us to suggest that she was contemplating suicide or was intending to harm herself. However, from 7 June 2020 onwards, victim DS's regular calls to us suddenly stopped. When we called her mobile phone out of concern, the calls were answered by Rohan Roy, who repeatedly told us that victim DS was busy with work. This sudden cessation of direct communication was completely unusual, considering that she had remained in regular contact with us until then.

On 9 June 2020, at around 3:15 A.M., I received a call from one of the officials of Malwani Police Station, asking me to come to the police station. I was informed that there had been a party which my daughter had attended and that some incident had occurred. Accordingly, I along with my wife proceeded to the Shatabdi hospital where she was admitted. When I reached the hospital at around 04:30 AM, I saw Rohan Roy, victim DS's fiancé, present there. On seeing me, he placed his hands on his head and sat down on the floor, appearing to be in a state of shock. I then asked victim DS's other friends, namely Indraneel Vaidya, Deep Ajmera and Himanshu Shikhare, who were also present there, what had happened. They informed me that victim DS was no more and had died.



On hearing this, I went into a state of severe shock and remained silent for some time. Victim DS's friends and certain police officers told me that she had committed suicide. Some police officers asked me whether I had any doubt or suspicion regarding her death. I had not even been shown my daughter's body at that stage and had no knowledge of what had actually happened. Being in a state of shock, I replied, "Nahi, abhi tak toh nahi hai." My said response was therefore made without having seen the body or having been informed of the complete facts and circumstances surrounding her death.

I repeatedly insisted that I should at least be permitted to see my daughter's body. However, an argument took place between the hospital staff and victim DS's friends, and I was informed that her body could not be shown to me at that time. Thereafter, on the morning of 11 June 2020, at approximately 11:00 a.m., and only after my repeated insistence, I was shown photographs of my daughter's body at Malwani Police Station. Initially, the police officials were reluctant even to show me the photographs. I firmly insisted that victim DS was my daughter and that, as her father, I had a right to see her. Only thereafter were the photographs shown to me. Upon examining the photographs, I did not notice any blood or multiple visible injuries on victim DS's face or body. I noticed only a small nail-like mark near her jaw, below the chin. I immediately raised my doubts with the concerned PI regarding the absence of blood and visible injuries, but I did not receive any satisfactory explanation. On 11 June 2020, victim DS's body was handed over to me for the last rites. After seeing the photographs, particularly the absence of blood and multiple visible injuries, I had doubts about the suicide theory. However, at that time I was under severe emotional shock and mental stress due to the sudden death of my only daughter.

At the same time, Rohan Roy and certain police officers repeatedly told me that victim DS had consumed alcohol, was intoxicated and had accidentally fallen from the building. They persuaded me to accept this version and told me, in substance, not to disown or defame my own deceased daughter by raising such suspicions. Being emotionally shattered and having no independent information or material available to me at that stage, I was persuaded to believe the version presented to me and did not pursue my doubts further at that time.

The police had obtained my signatures on various statements and documents time to time. The contents of those documents were neither properly read over nor explained to me, and I was unaware of what had been recorded therein. Neither the post-mortem report nor the Cause of Death Certificate, nor any other document relating to my daughter's death, was ever




supplied to me by the police. I was also never informed about the nature, status or outcome of any inquiry/investigation allegedly conducted into her death.

My daughter, victim DS, shared a close friendship with Sushant Singh Rajput, film actor and had also worked as his manager. In the course of her work, she came into possession of incriminating and objectionable information concerning alleged drug trafficking, child abuse and other unlawful activities involving Aditya Thackeray and others. She shared this information with Sushant Singh Rajput, and they intended to bring the same into the public domain through a press conference. At the relevant time, Aditya Thackeray was a Cabinet Minister and his father, Uddhav Thackeray, was the Chief Minister of Maharashtra. In order to prevent disclosure of the said information and protect the persons involved, a well-orchestrated criminal conspiracy was hatched. In furtherance of that conspiracy, victim DS was subjected to gang rape and murder, and thereafter evidence was suppressed / fabricated and a false story of suicide was created to cover up the crimes and shield the accused.

When these events occurred, victim DS shared with Sushant Singh Rajput the incriminating information she had gathered concerning Aditya Thackeray and the other accused persons. Sushant, in turn, shared this information with Rhea Chakraborty. Rhea was in contact with Aditya Thackeray and Dino Morya and, as per my information, passed on to him that victim DS and Sushant were proposing to expose these matters.

The accused persons conspired together to silence victim DS, and in furtherance of the said conspiracy, she was gang-raped and murdered and a false story of suicide was created to cover up the crime. Significantly, on the very day victim DS was killed, Rhea Chakraborty left Sushant Singh Rajput and blocked his phone number. After learning about victim DS' death, Sushant became extremely frightened and stated in substance, "Now those people will not spare me." Thereafter, out of fear for his own life, Sushant Singh Rajput instructed his staff to delete digital evidence, including data from mobile phones and other devices. A cyber expert was also allegedly called to delete/erase CCTV footage and other digital material relating to the premises where the relevant meetings had taken place. This fact was subsequently disclosed by his family members and staff in their statements in connection with the CBI investigation of other case relating to Sushant Singh Rajput's death. In this case, various police officers and public servants played a crucial role in the execution of the criminal conspiracy and thereafter in covering up the offences, shielding the accused, and suppressing, destroying and/or fabricating material evidence.



The then Chief Minister Uddhav Thackeray, father of Aditya Thackeray, and others also played a significant role in the conspiracy and subsequent cover-up. The concerned public servants and police officers are also liable to be investigated for destruction or suppression of case papers, files and other public records, fabrication of official records, and misuse of public funds, manpower and police machinery for unlawful purposes and for shielding the offenders.

The persons whose roles require investigation in connection with the commission of the principal offences, criminal conspiracy, subsequent creation and propagation of the false suicide story, and suppression, destruction and/or fabrication of evidence include Aditya Thackeray, Rohan Roy, Dino Morea, Sooraj Pancholi, Aditya Thackeray's bodyguards, Rhea Chakraborty, Showik Chakraborty, Sachin Vaze, Param Bir Singh, DCP Vishal Thakur, Uddhav Thackeray, Anil Deshmukh, API Ashok Devadhe, PI Jagdev Kalapad, PI Shailendra Nagarkar, PI Chimaji Adhav, PI Arjun Rajane, the concerned officers of Malvani Police Station, the doctors and staff concerned with the delayed post-mortem, Dr. Sanjay Jadhav, the concerned officers of the Forensic Science Laboratory, Kalina, including N. P. Bhale, Assistant Chemical Analyser, the then concerned PI and other police officers; the security guards of Regent Galaxy Building, including Sushil Kumar Yadav and Shivmurad Gautam @ Munna; Preeti Rane, Praveen Rane, Ankita Satur, Indraneel Vaidya, Deep Ajmera, Himanshu Shikhare, Resha Padwal, Satwant Singh, Naved Mujawar and Priyesh Rane; the senior members of the SIT, including all the senior police officers under whose supervision the faulty investigation/inquiry was conducted; and other unknown persons involved in the commission of the offence and subsequent cover up.

The version consistently put forward by Rohan Roy, the security guard and the other persons whose statements were relied upon in the earlier Malvani Police inquiry, including statements recorded before the Magistrate, was broadly that from 4 June 2020, when victim DS came to stay with Rohan Roy, she did not leave the flat/building until her death. According to this version, on the intervening night of 8–9 June 2020, victim DS was speaking on the telephone with Ankita Satur from approximately 12:06 a.m. until 1:16 a.m. Rohan Roy and the other persons present in the flat were also allegedly participating in or around that conversation. It was claimed that victim DS thereafter became upset, went into another room, locked the door from inside and, at approximately 1:20 a.m., jumped from the building and committed suicide. Substantially the same narrative was allegedly given by the security guard and the other persons stated to have been present in the flat. The statement of Preeti Rane, residing on the 11th floor, was also recorded and relied upon as part of this narrative. However, this entire



version is falsified by the CCTV footage and other objective documentary evidence. CCTV footage shows victim DS going towards/entering Flat No. 1101 at about 8:15 p.m. on 8 June 2020, which directly raises the question as to where she had been if, as claimed, she had never left since 4 June. More importantly, CCTV allegedly shows Rohan Roy, Preeti Rane, Security Guard Munna and police personnel using the lift at about 12:55 a.m. and going to flat 1202 with Rohan Roy, which is fundamentally inconsistent with the version that victim DS remained inside the flat speaking on the telephone until 1:16 a.m. and jumped only at about 1:20 a.m. There are equally serious contradictions concerning the condition of the body, clothes and post-mortem record. The hospital record reportedly described the body as nude, whereas subsequent records sought to associate clothes with the body. Even regarding those clothes, different records contain different descriptions and colours. The photographs, medical record and subsequent post-mortem/investigation narrative also disclose material inconsistencies requiring investigation into whether records were subsequently created, altered or manipulated to support the suicide theory.

The alleged landing position of the body is another serious circumstance. victim DS's body was shown as having landed approximately 25 feet away from the building, whereas the subsequent scene-reconstruction/dummy test allegedly failed to reproduce such a landing. Significantly, the records/videography of this reconstruction is now alleged to be missing from the investigation record of Local Police.

There are extraordinary contradictions regarding victim DS's mobile phone. The various versions, including Rohan Roy's statement/interview, shows that the police had taken possession of victim DS's mobile phone on 9 June 2020 at about 9:40 a.m. However, despite the phone having been shown as seized, media reports subsequently revealed that it continued to remain active and was being operated thereafter. When this fact became public, the police gave another explanation that the phone had been reopened only after Sushant Singh Rajput's death for verifying a possible connection with his case. When even this explanation was found to be inconsistent with the available material, the earlier seizure records were allegedly suppressed/destroyed and a different version regarding the date and circumstances of seizure was subsequently introduced in the official record. victim DS's mobile phone was actually possessed and operated by Sachin Waze and Aditya Thackeray. The phone was allegedly accessed to identify the persons with whom victim DS had communicated information concerning the incident and the persons who could potentially become material witnesses or pose a threat to the persons involved. After identifying such persons through victim DS's mobile

data and communications, potential witnesses were either eliminated, threatened, pressurized or otherwise prevented from disclosing the information available with them, while the relevant data in victim DS's mobile phone was accessed, deleted, tampered with or otherwise compromised so as to destroy the evidentiary trail.

The medical and police records specifically establish that vaginal and anal swabs were collected and sent to the Forensic Laboratory for examination. The post-mortem report and Cause of Death Certificate both refer to the swabs. More importantly, PSI Ashok Deodhare's communications dated 12 June 2020 and 16 June 2020 specifically record that the swabs had been forwarded for forensic examination and sought examination concerning sexual assault by multiple persons/gang rape. Thereafter, even DCP Vishal Thakur's communication dated 3 August 2020 specifically referred to the vaginal swabs and sought their urgent forensic analysis. However, the record was subsequently changed and, instead of the forensic result of the vaginal and anal swabs, a report dated 28.08.2020 concerning smear slides was produced.

The post-mortem doctor, Dr. Dhanraj Jadhav, thereafter in his letter dated 25.09.2020 sought to explain the contradiction by claiming that reference to "swab" in Form No. 2 was a mistake and that only smears had actually been collected. This explanation is itself contradicted by the post-mortem report, Cause of Death Certificate signed by the same doctor and repeated police communications, all independently referring to swabs.

However, the subsequent SIT record creates an even more serious contradiction. In his statement recorded by the SIT on 24 December 2023, PSI Ashok Deodhare specifically stated that the vaginal and anal swabs had been collected and sent to the Forensic Laboratory for examination. This once again proves the falsity of the version given by the post-mortem doctor on 25 September 2020, wherein he claimed that no swabs had been collected and that only smear slides had been prepared. There is also independent material corroborating the receipt of the swabs by the Forensic Laboratory. In a news report published in Mid-Day on 6 August 2020, journalist Shri Vinod Kumar Menon, while reporting on the forensic examination in victim DS's case, recorded that officials of the Forensic Laboratory had acknowledged/confirmed the receipt of the swabs sent for forensic examination. The said news article dated 6 August 2020 is available in the public domain on the internet and is relied upon as material in support of the present statement.

Similarly, the relevant mobile-tower/location records concerning Aditya Thackeray, Dino Morea and Sooraj Pancholi, which, according to the complainant, showed their presence at or in



the vicinity of the crime scene during the relevant period and were available with the investigating agency, are now missing from the investigation papers. The disappearance/non-production of such crucial electronic evidence requires investigation to ascertain who removed or suppressed these records, at what stage, on whose instructions, and for whose benefit.

For nearly five years, I was kept completely in the dark regarding these crucial records. It was only in 2025, after my Advocate served a legal notice upon the concerned authorities, that I was finally provided with a copy of my daughter's post-mortem report.

On 14 December 2023, I was called to Malwani Police Station, where I was informed that new evidence had emerged concerning victim DS's death and that a Special Investigation Team (SIT) had been constituted to conduct further inquiry/investigation. At that time, I specifically informed the police that I had serious doubts regarding the true cause and circumstances of my daughter's death and suspected that she had been subjected to gang rape and thereafter murdered, and that her death was falsely portrayed as suicide. I also informed them that I had seen News18 and other news reports containing serious material and allegations concerning her death, which further strengthened my suspicion that something seriously wrong had happened to my daughter and that the truth had not been properly investigated or disclosed to me.

By that time, I had watched several videos and news reports concerning victim DS's death. On the basis of the information appearing in those videos and reports, I became convinced that the circumstances surrounding her death required investigation as a case of suspected gang rape and murder rather than suicide. Certain videos and other materials seen by me contained serious allegations concerning the possible involvement of various people in victim DS's death and in the alleged suppression and cover-up of the true circumstances surrounding her death.

I also came across information alleging that the mobile-tower location of Aditya Thackeray was traced to or near the place connected with victim DS's death at the relevant time. I was further informed that Narcotics Bureau officer Sameer Wankhede, during an inquiry conducted by the Narcotics Control Bureau, had allegedly found material concerning Aditya Thackeray's mobile-tower location at the same place. I also came across a similar claim in a video made by Ashutosh Pathak.

ALUHAPAMEE2\TETIP THIB
gition to Police
I also came across a report broadcast by News18 India alleging that victim DS had not merely been murdered but had also been subjected to gang rape. It was further reported that

certain influential persons were present with or near victim DS at the relevant time, as allegedly indicated by their mobile-tower locations. These reports deeply disturbed me and gave me further reason to suspect that the true circumstances surrounding my daughter's death had neither been disclosed to us nor properly investigated.

Neither I nor any member of my family was ever furnished with a copy of victim DS's post-mortem report, death report, inquest report or any other official report explaining the precise cause and circumstances of her death. Despite being her father, I was kept unaware of the medical and investigative conclusions concerning my daughter's death.

In view of the facts and the circumstances mentioned above, it is requested that a criminal case may please be registered under appropriate provisions of law against the offenders."

13. Action taken: **Since the above information reveals commission of offence(s) u/s as mentioned at Item No. 2 above**

(1) Registered the case and took up the investigation

A Regular Case is registered.

(2) Directed (Name of IO) : **Shri Puran Kumar, DSP** to take up investigation.

(3) Refused investigation due to --- or
or


(4) Transferred to PS on point of jurisdiction ---
District ---

FIR read over to the complainant/informant, admitted to be correctly recorded and a copy given to the complainant/informant, free of cost.

R.O.A.C.

14 Signature/Thumb Impression of the complainant/informant ----

Signature of Officer in-charge, Police Station


Name: (Seema Pahuja) 13/09/26
Rank: Supdt. of Police
CBI SC.II New Delhi
सीमा पाहुजा / SEEMA PAHUJA
पुलिस अधीक्षक / Supdt. of Police
के.अ. ब्यूरो, वि.अ.-II, नई दिल्ली
CBI, SC-II, New Delhi

15. Date and time of dispatch to the Court